

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/654,415	Applicant(s) CHRISTIANSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Minh H. Chau	Art Unit 2854	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jack H. McKinney. (3) _____
 (2) Minh H. Chau. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 06 April 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 6.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion regarding the limitation of claim 5 is missing from the currently amended claim 6, which has been rewritten in independent form incorporating the limitations of claims 1 and 5. Mr. McKinney has agreed to add the limitation of claim 5 into the currently amended claim 6 and authorized the Examiner to do the Examiner's amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Minh Chau
 Examiner's signature, if required